

Fig. 3. Packing view down $b$; cation-chloride contact distances ( $\AA$ ).
A previous survey (Ammon et al., 1975), which showed a clear relationship between the $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})$ (I) and $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})$ (II) moieties, found that the angle between the aromatic ring and the $\mathrm{C}(3)-$ $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}$ plane in class (I) drugs and the angle between the $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-$ $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}$ planes in class (II) drugs both fell in the narrow range of $56.6-87 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ for nine adrenergic drugs (adrenaline tartrate was the exception at $2.8^{\circ}$ ). We have made a more recent survey of sixteen class (II) compounds and found a range of $6 \cdot 3-87 \cdot 8^{\circ}$ for the angle between the $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)-$ $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}$ planes. In (IV), the corresponding angle, between $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-$ $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}$, is $44 \cdot 1$ (3).
A packing diagram is given in Fig. 3. The molecules are elongated approximately parallel to [001]. The only intermolecular contact less than van der Waals distances between the organic cations is $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ (at $1-x, 2-y, 1-z$ ) of 3.070 (2) $\AA$. The principal cation...chloride interactions involve strong $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots$ $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}($at $2-x, 2-y, 1-z)$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}^{\cdots} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-}($at $x, y, z)$ contacts of $2 \cdot 18$ (3) and 2.29 (2) $\AA$ respectively, and a weaker $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{-}($at $2-x, 2-y, 1-z$ ) contact of 2.63 (2) A. These distances change to 2.08 (3) and $2 \cdot 14$ (2) $\AA$ respectively for 'corrected' $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogen-atom positions $\quad(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.97, \quad \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}=$ $1.03 \AA$ ).

This work was supported in part through the facilities of the University of Maryland Computer Science Center and through a grant from the Progetto finalizzato del CNR Chimica Fine e Secondaria.
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# Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs. II. Structure of (2-Ethoxy-5-indanyl)acetic Acid 

By Tadashi Hata, Sadao Sato and Chihiro Tamura<br>Analytical and Metabolic Research Laboratoires, Sankyo Co. Ltd, 1-2-58 Hiromachi, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 140, Japan

(Received 12 September 1985; accepted 12 November 1985)

> Abstract. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \quad M_{r}=220 \cdot 3$, triclinic, $P \overline{1}, \quad a=$ $10 \cdot 950(3), \quad b=11 \cdot 699(3), \quad c=4 \cdot 721(1) \AA, \quad \alpha=$ $83 \cdot 39(2), \quad \beta=104 \cdot 14(3), \quad \gamma=88 \cdot 53(3)^{\circ}, \quad V=$
> $0108-2701 / 86 / 040449-03 \$ 01.50$
581.6 (3) $\AA^{3}, \quad Z=2, \quad D_{x}=1.26 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad$ graphitemonochromated $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha, \lambda=1.5418 \AA, \mu=7.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $F(000)=236, T=298 \mathrm{~K}$, final $R=0.095$ for 1032 © 1986 International Union of Crystallography
reflections. The torsion angle of the carboxyl group with respect to the benzene ring in phenylacetic acids shows that the orientation of the carboxylic acids is less restricted than in 2-phenylpropionic acids. The fivemembered ring of the indan moiety takes an envelope conformation with an axial ethoxy group.

Introduction. In the course of a series of studies into non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs, several indanylpropionic and acetic acid derivatives were synthesized, among which 2 -(2-isopropyl-5-indanyl)propionic acid ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) exhibited particularly potent activity (Yoshida et al., 1980). In the crystalline state of this compound, the five-membered-ring system of indan adopts an envelope conformation with the isopropyl group in an equatorial position (Hata, Sato \& Tamura, 1986). Since the ethoxy and methoxy derivatives ( $\mathrm{I} b$ )-(Id) have shown rather poor activities, the crystal structure determination of the title compound ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) was undertaken to compare its structural characteristics with those of the related active compounds.

(I)
(a) $R_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad R_{2}=\mathrm{Me}$
(b) $R_{1}=\mathrm{OEt} \quad R_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
(c) $R_{1}=\mathrm{OMe} \quad R_{2}=\mathrm{Me}$
(d) $R_{1}=\mathrm{OMe} \quad R_{2}=\mathrm{H}$

Experimental. Colorless prisms grown from hexane solution by slow evaporation of a solution in a mixture of diethyl ether and hexane at room temperature; approximate crystal dimensions $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$. Crystal twinned on ( 001 ) plane but ratio of twinned fragments is $1: 0 \cdot 26$. $h k 0$ reflections multiplied by $0 \cdot 79$. Rigaku-Denki AFC-5 automated diffractometer, graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$. Seventeen reflections with $17<2 \theta<30^{\circ}$ used to determine cell parameters. No absorption correction. $2 \theta_{\text {max }}=120^{\circ}, \omega-2 \theta$ scan, range of $h k l:-11-11,-12-13,0-5$. No significant variation in intensities of three standard reflections. 1737 reflections measured; 1032 unique with $F \geq 3 \sigma(F)$ used for structure solution and refinement.Structure solved by direct methods using MULTAN78 (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1978). Full-matrix least-squares refinement based on $F$ for non-H atoms with anisotropic thermal parameters. H-atom positions determined from difference Fourier syntheses and refined with isotropic thermal parameters. Thermal parameters of H atoms fixed ( $B=13.0 \AA^{2}$ ). Final refinement converged at $R=0.095$, $w R=0.065, S=0.71 ; w=1 / \sigma^{2}(F) ;(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max } 0.01$ for
non- H atoms, 0.17 for H atoms. Further improvement of the discrepancy factor by another weighting scheme failed owing to crystal twinning and to some disorder of the ethoxy group. Max. peak height on final $\Delta \rho$ map 0.2 e $\AA^{-3}$. Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Calculations performed with the DIRECT-SEARCH program system described by Koyama \& Okada (1975).

Discussion. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters are given in Table 1.* The atom labelling and the anisotropic thermal ellipsoids are shown in Fig. 1. Bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2. They are all normal within the limits of experimental error.

The torsional angles $C(2)-C(1)-C(7)-C(8)$ and $C(1)-C(7)-C(8)-O(9)$ in the acetic acid side chain are $101 \cdot 5(10)$ and $25 \cdot 7(15)^{\circ}$, respectively. As shown in Table 3, these angles in some phenylacetic acids have been reported to be $38.2-112.6$ and $-98.4-25.7^{\circ}$, respectively. In contrast, the corresponding angles of 2-phenylpropionic acid side chains of the antiinflammatory drugs are $96.4-117.7$ and $77.3-90.2^{\circ}$, respectively. Therefore, the side chains of acetic acids may rotate more freely than those of propionic acids.

The five-membered ring system of the indan moiety adopts an envelope-shaped conformation wherein the $C(12)$ atom, to which the ethoxy group is connected in the axial position, is displaced by 0.40 (2) $\AA$ from the plane through the other four atoms of the ring, whereas the five-membered ring of the most active compound ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) has been reported to take an envelope conformation with the isopropyl group in the equatorial position (Hata, Sato \& Tamura, 1986). Therefore, the model compounds of 2-ethoxy- and 2-isopropylindan were of interest in performing the empirical force-field energy calculation using the program $M M 2^{\prime}$ (Jaime \& Ōsawa, 1983). This would provide data for substituent effects on the conformation in solution. For both cases of axial and equatorial conformations in the model compounds, various rotamers were generated by simultaneously varying the torsional angles, $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$, at intervals of $10^{\circ}$ and optimized. These angles correspond to $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ and $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ of the title compound. The observed torsional angles of the title compound in the crystalline state were $\tau_{1}=-76.8(10)$ and $\tau_{2}$ $=164 \cdot 8(8)^{\circ}$, close to those angles of the global minimum-energy conformation with the axial 2 -ethoxy group ( $\tau_{1}=-85$ and $\tau_{2}=175^{\circ}$ ), which is only $1.34 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy than that of the

[^0]equatorial conformation. In contrast, similar calculations for 2 -isopropylindan indicated that the globalminimum conformation with an equatorial isopropyl group was more stable than that with an axial substituent by $10.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The potently active compound (Ia) exhibits a strong preference for an equatorial-substituent conformation, while less-active compounds seem to adopt this only to a small degree. Therefore, we suppose that this equatorial conformation may influence the antiinflammatory activity.

The molecules form centrosymmetric dimers via hydrogen bonds between the carbonyl groups. The $\mathrm{O}(9) \cdots \mathrm{O}(10)$ hydrogen-bond length is 2.651 (9) $\AA$.

We thank Professor E. Ōsawa of Hokkaido University for kindly supplying the program $M M 2^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{Dr} \mathrm{A}$. Terada of The Chemical Research Laboratories of our company for providing samples.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters, with e.s.d.s in parentheses

| $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \boldsymbol{B}_{l j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| C(1) | 1633 (7) | 3065 (7) | 3225 (17) | 2.9 (3) |
| C(2) | 509 (8) | 3566 (7) | 3486 (18) | 3.0 (3) |
| C(3) | -197(7) | 2950 (6) | 5088 (16) | 2.4 (2) |
| C(4) | 205 (7) | 1852 (7) | 6520 (16) | $2 \cdot 6$ (3) |
| C(5) | 1318 (8) | 1348 (8) | 6257 (19) | $3 \cdot 3$ (3) |
| C(6) | 2041 (7) | 1960 (8) | 4559 (18) | 3.4 (3) |
| C(7) | 2386 (8) | 3734 (8) | 1323 (20) | $3 \cdot 5$ (3) |
| C(8) | 3486 (7) | 4289 (7) | 3056 (22) | $3 \cdot 4$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 4043 (5) | 3940 (5) | 5645 (14) | 4.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 3897 (6) | 5124 (6) | 1567 (13) | 5.0 (2) |
| C(11) | -1444 (8) | 3304 (7) | 5676 (22) | $3 \cdot 5$ (3) |
| C(12) | -1907 (8) | 2164 (7) | 6826 (19) | $3 \cdot 3$ (3) |
| C(13) | -749 (8) | 1383 (8) | 8130 (21) | 3.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | -2668 (5) | 1625 (4) | 4439 (13) | $4 \cdot 2$ (2) |
| C(15) | -3891 (10) | 2102 (12) | 3320 (30) | $6 \cdot 8$ (5) |
| C(16) | -4662 (11) | 1292 (12) | 1497 (32) | 7.5 (5) |

Fig. 1. ORTEP plot (Johnson 1965) of the title compound with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Table 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.389 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8) \quad 1$. | 1.491 (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.383 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(9) \quad 1$. | 1.242 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.527 (13) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(10) \quad 1$ | 1.293 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.371 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12) \quad 1$. | 1.527 (12) |
| C(3)-C(4) | 1.389 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13) \quad 1$. | 1.500 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.515 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(14) \quad 1$. | 1.447 (10) |
| C(4)-C(5) | 1.379 (12) | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15) \quad 1$. | 1.389 (12) |
| C(4)-C(13) | 1.514 (13) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16) \quad 1$ | 1.492 (19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.410 (13) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $120 \cdot 8$ (8) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 113.6 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 118.8 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 124.1 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $120 \cdot 3$ (8) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 114.4 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 119.1 (7) | $\mathrm{O}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 121.2 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 121.4 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $103 \cdot 3$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 128.9 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 106.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 109.7 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 4) $111.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 119.7 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | (4) $106 \cdot 8(7)$ |
| C(3)-C(4)-C(13) | 110.0 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 104.0 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $130 \cdot 2(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 5) $115 \cdot 3$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 119.6 (8) | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | ) 109.5 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 119.4 (8) |  |  |

Table 3. Torsional angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of the carboxyl groups in some phenylacetic and 2-phenylpropionic acids

| Compound | Torsional angles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C(2)-C(1)- \\ C(7)-C(8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C(1)-C(7)- \\ & C(8)-O(9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Phenylacetic acids | 38.2-112.6 | -98.4-25.7 |
| present compound | 101.5 | 25.7 |
| 4-(benzyloxy)phenylacetic acid ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 85.8,38.2 | -98.4, -90.7 |
| 4-(phenoxymethyl)phenylacetic acid ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 112.6 | 7.7 |
| 2-Phenylpropionic acids | 96.4-111.7 | 77.3-90.2 |
| ibuprofen ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 96.4 | 89.3 |
| flurbiprofen ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 107.7 | 77.3 |
| naproxen" | 111.7 | $90 \cdot 2$ |

References: (a) Bats \& Canenbley (1984a); (b) Bats \& Canenbley (1984b); (c) McConnell (1974); (d) Flippen \& Gilardi (1975); (e) Ravikumar, Rajan, Pattabhi \& Gabe (1985).
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[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H -atom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 42639 ( 10 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

